

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,615	10/10/2001	Lisa A. Vala	5511USA	8746	
30173	7590 03/27/2003				
GENERAL MILLS, INC. P.O. BOX 1113 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440			EXAMINER		
			SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/975,615	VALA ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Ti	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims AVM Claim(c) 1.2.5.7.10.15.17 and 20 in/ore pend	ling in the application				
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,7,10,15-17 and 20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7,10,15-17 and 20</u> is/are reject 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	leu.				
	or alaction requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment filed on December 09, 2002 has been entered. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10, 15-17, 20 are pending. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered and were found persuasive in view of the amendments. Accordingly, the rejections of previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,3, 5, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sjoberg US Patent 6,491,952.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,615

Art Unit: 1617

্

Sjoberg discloses cholesterol lowering baked cakes of 18-20 grams each containing 0.5 g of sitosterol or sitostanol and oat flakes (see example 9, claims 1-9). Accordingly, Sjoberg anticipates the limitations of the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10, 15-17, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sjoberg US Patent 6,491,952 in view of James US Patent 6,020,324.

The teachings of Sjoberg are discussed above. Sjoberg also teaches the use of his compositions in forming various food products such as a snack bar such as chocolate bars or other ready to eat food products such as yogurt. (col 2, lines 1-5). Sjoberg fails to explicitly teach products that lower LDL.

James is used to show that B-Glucan containing food supplements are readily used to lower cholesterols including LDL (abstract, col 3, lines 12-35, col 6, lines 65-67; col 7, lines 1-50).

It is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by prior at to be useful for same purpose in order to form third composition that is to be used for very same purpose; idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in prior art; thus, claims that require no more than mixing together of two conventional spray-dried detergents set forth prima facie obvious subject matter. *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980). Accordingly, it would

Application/Control Number: 09/975,615

Art Unit: 1617

. 1

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the mixture of Sjoberg with those of James and then adjust the ratios of cholesterol lowering agents through routine experimentation to produce a food product of choice that provides LDL lowering effects, because as reasoned in *Kerkhoven* combining such ingredients flows logically from the teachings of Sjoberg and James.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 703-306-5400. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,615

Art Unit: 1617

<u>, 1</u>

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 703-308-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

SS

March 21, 2003

Page 5